ISSN: 2249-7323 Vol. 12, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.558 A peer reviewed journal

BUSINESS GROUPS AND CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Mohammadreza Feshki*; Mohammad Torkashvand**

Email id: mehdimousavi.hrm@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7323.2022.00044.X

ABSTRACT

Corporate social responsibility reporting is a very important phenomenon today. Despite the fact that the purpose of social responsibility reports is to address the broader concerns of stakeholders in various environmental, social and economic dimensions. But according to research, the performance of social responsibility in companies affiliated with the business group is often different from independent companies. Accordingly, in this study, the impact of business groups on social responsibility has been investigated. In this research, 118 companies listed on the Tehran Stock Exchange in the period 2013-2020 have been studied. To test the hypotheses, panel regression models were used. The research findings show that companies affiliated with business groups have a negative and significant effect on social responsibility performance. But companies affiliated with business groups do not have a significant impact on the performance of social responsibility in state-owned companies.

KEYWORDS: Companies Affiliated With Business Groups, Social Responsibility, And State-Owned Companies.

REFERENCES

- **1-** Bai, C. E., & Xu, L. C. (2005). An incentive for CEOs with multitasks: Evidence from Chinesestate-owned enterprises. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 33(3), 517-539.
- **2-** Baron, D. P. (1995). Integrated strategy: Market and nonmarket components. *California Management Review*, 37(2), 47-65.
- **3-** Belkaoui, A., & Karpik, P. G. (1989). Determinants of the corporate decision to disclosesocial information. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2(1), 36-51.
- **4-** Carney, M., Shapiro, D., & Tang, Y. (2009). Business group performance in China: Ownership and temporal considerations. *Management and Organization Review*, 5(2), 167-193.
- **5-** Chen, J. C., & Roberts, R. W. (2010). Toward a more coherent understanding of the organization–society relationship: A theoretical consideration for social and environmental accounting research. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(4), 651-665.
- **6-** ChinaCSR.com (2009, February 23). How far can Chinese companies take corporate social responsibility? Retrieved December 10, 2016, from http://www.chinacsr.com/en/2009/02/23/4572-how-far-can-chinese companies-take corporate-social-responsibility/.
- **7-** Cho, C. H., Laine, M., Roberts, R. W., & Rodrigue, M. (2015). Organized hypocrisy, organizational façades, and sustainability reporting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 40, 78-94.
- **8-** Claessens, S., Fan, J. P., & Lang, L. H. (2006). The benefits and costs of group affiliation: Evidence from East Asia. *Emerging Markets Review*, 7(1), 1-26.

ISSN: 2249-7323 Vol. 12, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.558 A peer reviewed journal

- **9-** Di Giuli, A., & Kostovetsky, L. (2014). Are red or blue companies more likely to go green? Politics and corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 111(1),158-180.
- **10-** Dong, J. L., & Hu, J. (1995). Mergers and acquisitions in China. *Economic Review Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta*, 80(6), 15-29.
- **11-** Dou, H., Zhang, H., & Lu, Z. (2014). Business groups, monitoring by large shareholders, and over investment. *Management World*, 7, 134-143.
- **12-** Fan, J. P. H., Jin, L., & Zheng, G. (2016). Revisiting the bright and dark sides of capital flows in business groups. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134(4), 509-528.
- **13-** Goto, A. (1982). Business groups in a market economy. *European Economic Review*, 19(1), 53-70.
- **14-** Granovetter, M. (1995). Coase revisited: Business groups in the modern economy. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 4, 93-130.
- **15-** Guest, P., & Sutherland, D. (2010). The impact of business group affiliation on performance: Evidence from China's 'national champions'. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 34(4), 617-631.
- **16-**He, J., Mao, X., Rui, O. M., & Zha, X. (2013). Business groups in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 22, 166-192.
- **17-**He, Y., & Tian, Z. (2008). Government-oriented corporate public relation strategies in transitional China. *Management and Organization Review*, 4(3), 367-391.
- **18-** Hofman, P. S., Moon, J., & Wu, B. (2017). Corporate social responsibility under authoritarian capitalism: Dynamics and prospects of state-led and society-driven CSR. *Business & Society*, 56(5), 651-671.
- **19-**Hoshi, T., Kashyap, A., & Scharfste in, D. (1991). Corporate structure, liquidity, and investment: Evidence from Japanese industrial groups. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(1), 33-60.
- **20-** Huang, J., & Rong, Z. (2017). Housing boom, real estate diversification, and capital structure: Evidence from China. *Emerging Markets Review*, 32, 74-95.
- **21-** Jones, J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigation. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 193-228.
- **22-** Keister, L. (1998). Engineering growth: Business group structure and firm performance in China's transition economy. *American Journal of Sociology*, 104(2), 404-440.
- **23-** Keister, L. (2000). *Chinese business groups: The structure and impact of inter firm relations during economic development.* Hong Kong: Oxford University Press.
- **24-** Keister, L. (2009). Inter firm relations in China: Group structure and firm performance in business groups. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 52(12), 1709-1730.
- **25-** Khanna, T. (2000). Business groups and social welfare in emerging markets: Existing evidence and unanswered questions. *European Economic Review*, 44(4), 748-761.
- **26-** Khanna, T., & Yafeh, Y. (2007). Business groups in emerging markets: Paragons or parasites? *Journal of Economic Literature*, 45(2), 331-372.
- **27-** KPMG. (2005). KPMG international survey of corporate responsibility reporting 2005.KPMG International, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

ISSN: 2249-7323 Vol. 12, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.558 A peer reviewed journal

- **28-** KPMG. (2011). KPMG international survey of corporate responsibility reporting 2011.KPMG International, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- **29-**Lau, C., Lu, Y., & Liang, Q. (2016). Corporate social responsibility in China: A corporate governance approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 136(1), 73-87.
- **30-**Li, H., & Zhang, Y. (2007). The role of managers' political networking and functional experience in new venture performance: Evidence from China's transition economy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(8), 791-804.
- **31-**Li, W., & Zhang, R. (2010). Corporate social responsibility, ownership structure, and political interference: Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 96(4), 631-645.
- **32-**Liao, L., Lin, T. P., & Zhang, Y. (2016). Corporate board and corporate social responsibility assurance: Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, forthcoming.
- **33-**Luo, Y. (2003). Industrial dynamics and managerial networking in an emerging market: The case of China. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(13), 1315-1327.
- **34-** Ma, X., & Lu, J. (2005). The critical role of business groups in China. *Ivey Business Journal*, 69(5), 1-12.
- **35-** Marquis, C., & Qian, C. (2014). Corporate social responsibility reporting in China: Symbol or substance? *Organization Science*, 25(1), 127-148.
- **36-** Marquis, C., Zhang, J., & Zhou, Y. (2011). Regulatory uncertainty and corporate responses to environmental protection in China. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 39-63.
- **37-** Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). 'Implicit' and 'explicit' CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 33(2), 404-424.
- **38-**Mi, Z., & Wang, X. (2000). Agency cost and the crisis of China's SOE. *China EconomicReview*, 11(3), 297-317.
- **39-** Moser, D. V., & Martin, P. R. (2012). A broader perspective on corporate social responsibility research in accounting. *The Accounting Review*, 87(3), 797-806.
- **40-** Nolan, P., & Wang, X. (1999). Beyond privatization: Institutional innovation and growth in China's large state-owned enterprises. *World Development*, 27(1), 169-200.
- **41-**Peng, M. W., & Heath, P. S. (1996). The growth of the firm in planned economies in transition: Institutions, organizations, and strategic choice. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2), 492-528.
- **42-** Prowse, S. D. (1992). The structure of corporate ownership in Japan. *The Journal of Finance*, 47 (3), 1121-1140.
- **43-**Reverte, C. (2009). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure ratings by Spanish listed firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88(2), 351-366.
- **44-**Ryan, T. P. (1997). *Modern regression methods*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons. Schuler, D. A., & Rehbein, K. (1997). The filtering role of the firm in corporate political involvement. *Business & Society*, 36(2), 116-139.
- **45-** See, G. (2009). Harmonious society and Chinese CSR: Is there really a link? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(1), 1-22.
- **46-** Shabana, K. M., Buchholtz, A. K., & Carroll, A. B. (2017). The institutionalization of corporate social responsibility reporting. *Business & Society*, 56(8), 1107-1135.

ISSN: 2249-7323 Vol. 12, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.558 A peer reviewed journal

- **47-** Shin, H. H., & Park, Y. S. (1999). Financing constraints and internal capital markets: Evidence from Korean "chaebols". *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 5(2), 169-191.
- **48-** Sino-Swedish CSR Cooperation. (2009, May 14). Interpreting CSR policy for large Chinese SOEs. Retrieved December 10, 2016, fromhttp://csr2.mofcom.gov.cn/article/laws/200905/20090506246453.shtml
- **49-** Such man, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571-610.
- **50-** Sutherland, D. (2003). *China's large enterprises and the challenge of late industrialization*. New York, NY: Rout ledge Curzon, the Taylor & Francis Group.
- **51-** Wang, J., & Coffey, B. S. (1992). Board composition and corporate philanthropy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 11, 771-778.
- **52-**Xin, Q., Zheng, G., & Yang, D. (2007). Business groups, government control, and investment efficiency. *Finance Research*, 10, 123-142.
- **53-** Yu, H., Fang, L., Sun, B., & Du, D. (2018). Risk contribution of the Chinese stock market to developed markets in the post-crisis period. *Emerging Markets Review*, 34, 87-97.
- **54-** Zhang, J. Q., Zhu, H., & Ding, H. (2013). Board composition and corporate social responsibility: An empirical investigation in the post Sarbanes-Oxley era. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 114, 381-392.