

**LINGUACULTURAL ANALYSIS AS A MEANS OF CONCEPTUALIZING
REALITY**

Zilola Abdulkhayit qizi Alibekova*

*Teacher,

Department of Foreign Languages in Natural and Exact Sciences,
Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,
UZBEKISTAN

Email id: alibekovazilola91@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2022.00127.7

ABSTRACT

It is of theoretical and practical importance to study any language from different angles, from different approaches. In particular, it is no exaggeration to say that the study of linguoculture plays a Turkish role in our understanding of many issues that are beyond the reach of language. The emergence of linguoculturology is associated with an interest in the interaction of culture and language, and an attempt to understand the phenomenon of culture as a specific form of human existence in the world. At the same time, language serves as a means of interpreting human culture, the mentality of the people. The article discusses the emergence of linguoculturology in relation to the interaction of culture and language, the main thing in the linguistic description of the world is the knowledge reinforced in the words and phrases of certain languages, which cover all the conceptual content of language.

KEYWORDS: *Linguoculturology, Conceptual Content, Linguistic View Of The World, Language, Thinking, Cognitive Need, Cultural Memory, Interaction Of Culture, Mentality, Linguistic Image Of The World.*

REFERENCES

1. Shaklein VM. Linguoculturology: traditions and innovations: monograph. Moscow: Flinta. 2012.p. 4
2. Maslova VA. Linguoculturology: textbook. Allowance for students of higher educational institutions. Moscow: Publishing Center "Academy". 2011. p. 208.
3. Vorobyov VV. Linguoculturology: monograph. Moscow: RUDN. 2014. p. 336.
4. Yartseva VN. Linguistic Encyclopedic Dictionary. Moscow: Modern encyclopedia, 1990. p. 685.
5. Tkhorik VI, Fanyan NYu. Linguoculturology and intercultural communication: textbook. Allowance. 2nd ed. Moscow: GIS, 2006. p. 260.
6. Sapir E. Status of linguistics as a science. Selected works on linguistic and cultural studies. Translated from English. Edited and with preface. Doctor Philological Sciences. Professor A.E. Kibrika. 2nd ed. Moscow: Progress Publishing Group. 2001. pp. 259-265.
7. Frolova IT. Philosophical Dictionary. 5th ed. Moscow: Publishing House "Politechnical", 1987. p. 592.
8. Triandis GK, Sosnin VA. Culture and social behavior: textbook. Allowance. Moscow: FORUM. 2007. p. 384.

9. Eco W. The name of the rose. Detective. Issue. 2. Moscow: Book Chamber. 1989. p. 496.
10. Khrolenko AT. Fundamentals of linguoculturology: textbook. Allowance. 4th ed. Moscow: Flinta: Nauka. 2008. p. 184.
11. Zinovieva EI, Yurkov EE. Linguoculturology: textbook. St. Petersburg: Osipov Publishing House. 2006. p. 260.
12. Plank M. Unity of the physical picture of the world. Moscow: Nauka. 1966. p. 288.
13. Einstein A. Maxwell's influence on the development of ideas about physical reality. Collections of Scientific Essays. Vol. 4. Moscow. 1967. pp.136-140.
14. Kornilov OA. Linguistic pictures of the world as derivatives of national mentalities: textbook. Allowance. 3rd ed., rev. Moscow: KSU. 2011. p. 350.
15. Kramersch C. Language and Culture. Oxford University Press. 1998.
16. Fatkullina FG, Suleimanova K. (2011) Linguistic picture of the world as a way of conceptualization of reality. Bulletin of Bash SU. 2011;16(3):1002-1005.
17. Stepanov YuS. Constants. Dictionary of Russian culture. Research experience. – Moscow: School "Languages of Russian Culture". 1997. p. 824.
18. Arutyunova ND. Introduction. Logical analysis of the language. Mental actions. – Moscow: Nauka. 1993. pp. 3-6.
19. Fatkullina FG. Typology of concepts in modern linguistics. Pedagogical journal of Bashkortostan. 2015; 1(15): 239-243.
20. Wierzbicka A. Cross-Cultural Pragmatics: The Semantics of Human Interaction. 2nd edition. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter. 2003.
21. Popova ZD, Sternin IA. The concept of “concept” in linguistic research. Voronezh: Voronezh Publishing House. 2000. p. 30.
22. Teliya VN. (1999) Primary tasks and methodological problems of studying the phraseological composition of the language in the context of culture. Phraseology in the context of culture. Moscow: Languages of Russian culture. 1999. pp. 13-24.
23. Raupova LR, Safarova GQ. Euphemism—As a Linguistic Unit Expressing National-Mental Identity//Current Research Journal of Philological Sciences. 2021;2(10):125-133.
24. Khursanov NI. On the theoretical and practical foundations of language corpora. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research. 2021;10(9):311-318.
25. Vlahov S, Florin S. Untranslatable in translation. Moscow: International relations. 1980. p. 344.
26. Kerényi K, Jung KG. Introduction to the Essence of Mythology. Soul and myth: six archetypes. Moscow. 1999. pp. 11-210.
27. Komarova ZI. Technology of scientific research in the system methodology of modern linguistics. Yekaterinburg: Publishing House of UrSPU. 2016. p. 209.
28. Raupova LR, Sultonova Sh. Scientific Basis of Compiling a Dictionary of Grammatical Terms. Current Research Journal of Philological Sciences, 2021;2(10):116-124.
29. Rasuljanovna IN. The phenomenon of lacunarity as the linguacultural issue. Prospects of world science. 2019. 226p.

Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities

ISSN: 2249-7315 Vol. 12, Issue 03, March 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.625

A peer reviewed journal
