Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management

ISSN: 2249-7307 Vol. 12, Issue 2, February 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.529 A peer reviewed journal

RISK ASSESSMENT OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE INNOVATION PROCESS OF ENTERPRISES

Olim Tashtemirovich Astanakulov*; Gulnora Maratovna Bekimbetova**

*Associate Professor, Department of Economics, International Islamic Academy of Uzbekistan Head of the Department of Islamic Economics and Finance, Tashkent City, UZBEKISTAN Email Id: astanakulov@gmail.com

**PhD,

Departments of Corporate Governance and Business Analytics, Tashkent State University of Economics Tashkent City, UZBEKISTAN Email Id: g.bekimbetova.ifm@tsue.uz

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7307.2022.00016.0

ABSTRACT

The article deals with the problem of choosing investment projects and its further continuation or suspension. The evaluation of each investment project is usually a multidimensional problem, as the issue of financial analysis is considered, and technical, social and environmental factors are also taken into account. While financial criteria are usually quantitative in nature, others are often based on qualitative judgments. In particular, the high level of risk of investment projects is usually a key indicator on the path of innovative development. Development of enterprises accompanying innovation and investment activities. The study of methods of analysis and risk assessment of investment projects is designed to minimize the probability of force majeure in the development of innovative projects. Since the analysis of each project is based on vague assumptions, the problem can be considered as a discrete stochastic decision problem with multiple criteria. To solve this problem, we use stochastic dominance rules and the multi-criteria auxiliary procedure PROMETHEE II. While the modeling method is used to obtain a financial assessment of projects, expert opinions are taken into account quantitative and qualitative factors.

KEYWORDS: Investment Project, Risk, Evaluation, Innovation, Qualitative And Quantitative Factors, PROMETHEE II.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Kaleev SV. Методы анализа и оценки рисков инвестиционных проектов. 2022. pp.150–156.
- 2. Ramalingam B, Prabhu J. Innovation , development and COVID-19 : Challenges, opportunities and ways forward. OECD Tackling Coronavirus (COVID-19): Contributing To A Global Effort, 2020. pp.1–14. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/innovation-development-and-covid-19-challenges-opportunities-and-ways-forward-0c976158/

Asian Research consortium www.aijsh .com

Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management

ISSN: 2249-7307 Vol. 12, Issue 2, February 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.529 A peer reviewed journal

- **3.** Floricel S, St-Pierre J. Evaluating risk in the innovation projects of small firms. Conference: Association canadienne des sciences administrativesAt: ASAC, Halifax, Canada. 2003.
- **4.** Astanakulov OT, Raximov MY, Kalandarova NN. Analysis of The Investment Program of The Analytical Cycle at the Enterprise for the Development of the Company's Entrepreneurial Activity. Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal, 2020;26(3):1–7.
- 5. Hertz DB. Risk Analysis in Capital Investment Need for New Concept. Harvard Business Review, 1979. Available at: https://hbr.org/1979/09/risk-analysis-in-capital-investment
- 6. Shevchenko G, Ustinovichius L. Risk Assessment Improvement in the Investment Project Management: Verbal Analysis Methods. Studia Ekonomiczne, 2013;137:83-101.
- 7. Maria Goreti usboko. Covariance structure analysis of health-related indicators in the elderly at home with a focus on subjective healthTitle. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018;10(1):279–288.
- 8. Tarasova EV, Nikulina EN, Moskvicheva NV. (2017). Analysis of risk assessment methods of innovative projects. Revista Espacios, 2017;38(49):1-18
- **9.** Shaturaev JN, Jumaev HS. Small Business, Innovation and Entrepreneurship. International Journal of Advanced Research, 2019;7(11):303–307.
- **10.** Report. Risk assessment and mitigation in Central Asia: implications for foreign direct investment and the Belt and Road Initiative. 2019. Available at: https://www.ebrd.com/risk-assessment-mitigation-central-asia.pdf
- **11.** Gorokhovatskyi V, Sergienko O, Sosnov I, Tatar M, Shapran E. Risk assessment of innovative projects: Development of forecasting models. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 2021;2927(2020):18–37.
- **12.** Brans JP, De Smet Y. Promethee methods. International Series in Operations Research and Management Science, 2016;233:187–219.
- **13.** Karlibaeva R. (2021). Theory of Finance and Financing Strategies. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2021;9(Feb):547-550.
- 14. Jensen MC, Smith Jr CW. The Theory of Corporate Finance: A Historical Overview. SSRN Electronic Journal. 1984, pp.2-20. Available on the Social Science Research Network (SSRN) Electronic Library at: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=244161
- **15.** Астанакулов ОТ, Асатуллаев ХС. Анализ и оценка эффективности инвестиционных проектов. Халқаро Молия Ва Ҳисоб, 2018;(3).
- **16.** Yosifov T, Taneva G. A model for assessing economic risk in financing innovation projects of venture capital funds. Ikonomicheski Izsledvania, 2018;27(4): 146–161.
- **17.** Usman EV, Mikhailova LV. Risk assessment of investment projects of aviation industry enterprises using a comprehensive indicative approach. Revista Espacios,2020;41(5):1-28.
- **18.** Nikolova LV, Kuporov JJ, Rodionov DG. Risk Management of Innovation Projects in the Context of Globalization. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 2015;5(3S):73–79.

Asian Research consortium www.aijsh .com

Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management

ISSN: 2249-7307 Vol. 12, Issue 2, February 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.529 A peer reviewed journal

- **19.** Xiaoyu W. A study on the ``inverted U{''} relationship between open innovation and enterprise innovation capacity. Thirteenth Wuhan International Conference on E-Business, 2014, pp.525–531.
- **20.** Bekefi T, Epstein MJ, Yuthas K. Managing Opportunities and Risks Notice to readers. Vol. 39. 2008.
- **21.** Bekimbetova G. Theoretical Aspects of the Methods and Goals in Assessment of Young Leaders. Bulletin of Science and Practice. 2020;6(5):356-360.
- **22.** Bekimbetova G. General methods of analysis in decision-making and selection efficiency of investment projects. Bulletin of Science and Practice. 2019;5(3):305-313.
- **23.** Akitoby B, Stratmann T. Fiscal policy and financial markets. The Economic Journal 2008;118(533):1971-1985
- **24.** Stamalevi J. The Importance of Payback Method in Capital Budgeting Decisions Article by Jones Stamalevi. South American Journal of Management, 2015;1(2): 1–6
- **25.** PWC. Managing risks and enabling growth in the age of innovation. 2018. p.28.
- **26.** Arjunan K. IRR perform bettr than NPV-A critical analysis of cases of multiple IRR and mutually exclusive and indepent investment. 2017;148:148–162.
- 27. Milano GV. When Projects Have a Zero or Negative NPV. Cfo. 2017.
- **28.** lavský O. Net Present Value Approach: Method for Economic Assessment of Innovation Projects. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014;156(April):506–512.