

CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLS OF WORDS

T. Rustamov*; X. M. Jumanazarov**; U. Almatova***; Z. X. Mamaziyayev****;

Z. A. Alibekova*****

*Assistant Professor,

PhD,

Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,

UZBEKISTAN

Email id: ilhom.rustamov.1982@mail.ru

**Lecturer,

Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,

UZBEKISTAN

Email id: ismoil.jumanazarov@mail.ru

***Senior Lecturer,

Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,

UZBEKISTAN

Email id: almato78@mail.ru

****Lecturer,

Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,

UZBEKISTAN

Email id: zoxid.mamaziyayev.@gmail.com

*****Lecturer,

Jizzakh State Pedagogical Institute,

UZBEKISTAN

Email id: alibekovazilola91@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2022.00097.1

ABSTRACT

The article looks at the classification features of word clusters, a complex and frequently discussed issue such as word classification in the history of linguistics. Indeed, the word as a spiritual and grammatical whole requires each researcher to determine its nature, lexical and grammatical description accordingly, as well as to study the classification of words and their division into lexical-grammatical groups for linguists of each period, remains an important issue. In a language system, all lexical units are required to have semantic, syntactic, and morphological features. Therefore, as the role and meaning of words in the system are determined, it is necessary to pay attention to the same three signs of them. In the classification of words, these signs are generalized. The possibilities of categorizing words prove once again that language is a holistic system. In the language system, the differentiation of events in a particular group is limited to a distinctive sign at a particular level, and there is no need to refer to other levels. For this reason, while categories such as noun, adjective, number, and verb differ morphologically, the similarity of semantic and syntactic characters is sought.

KEYWORDS: *Noun, Noun, Subject, Conjunction, Preposition, Adverbs, Transitive And Intransitive Verbs, Lexicon, Grammatical Field.*

REFERENCES

1. Katsnelson SD. Typology of language and speech thinking. London: Nauka; 1972. p. 128.
2. Slyusareva NA. Problems of functional morphology of the English language. Moscow: Nauka; 1986.
3. Jespersen O. Philosophy of Grammar. Moscow; 1958.
4. Fries Ch. The Structure of English. NewYork: Brace; 1952.
5. Modern Uzbek language. Morphology. Tashkent: Classic Word; 2008. p.387.
6. Kubryakova ES. Parts of speech in onomasiological coverage. Moscow: Nauka; 1978.
7. Shzerba LV. About frequent speeches in Russian. In kN: Selected Works on the Russian Language. London, 1957. p.64.
8. Shchur GS. Field theory in linguistics. Moscow: Nauka; 1974.
9. Beloshapkova VA. etc. Modern Russian language. Moscow: Higher School; 1981.
10. Ivanova IB, Burlakova VV, Pochentsov GG. Theoretical grammar of modern English. Moscow: Higher School, 1981.
11. Rustamov I. Ethnic Stereotypes in Anecdotes. Архив Научных Публикаций JSPI, 2020;1(96).
12. Rustamov I. National Stereotyped View Of Anecdotes. Архив Научных Публикаций JSPI, 2020;1(96).