Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities
ISSN: 2249-7315 Vol. 12, Issue 02, February 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.625
A peer reviewed journal

USAGE OF EUPHEMISM IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK POLITICAL DISCOURSE

Fayzieva Nilufar Nemat Kizi*

*PhD Student,
National University of Uzbekistan,
Tashkent, UZBEKISTAN
Mail Id: Lily_angel94@mail.ru

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2022.00069.7

ABSTRACT

Language is a unique quality that sets apart the human race from all other species. Language has allowed mankind to communicate and express ideas, which has had a major factor in our development over time. However, language does not merely consist of words and phrases. Nowadays the term discourse is one of the concepts of linguistics that is very widely developed and has been the subject of much discussion. Political discourse is also one of the concepts on the topic today. This article focuses on the analysis of euphemisms that are widely used in political discourse in English and Uzbek languages.

KEYWORDS: *Discourse, Political Discourse, Political Correctness, Euphemism.*

REFERENCES:

1. Kress G. Ideological structures in discourse. Handbook of discourse analysis. Vol. 4. 1985. pp. 27-42.
2. Kubryakova ES. On the concepts of discourse and discursive analysis in modern linguistics. Series "Theory and History of Linguistics" RAS. INION. Moscow; 2000. pp. 5–14.
3. Mey J. The Pragmatics of transition. Political discourse in transition in Europe, 1989-1991. Amsterdam; Philadelphia. 1998. pp.25-34.
4. Караулов ЮН. Русский язык и языковая личность. Moscow: Наука, 1993. 261p.
5. Кацев АМ. Языковое табу и эвфемия. Л : Просвещение;1988. 112p.
6. Болдырев НН, Алексикова ЮВ. Когнитивный аспект эвфемизации. Вопросы когнитивной лингвистики. 2010;(2):5-11.
7. Тер-Минасова СГ. Язык и межкультурная коммуникация. Moscow; 2000.
8. Dijk TA. Discourse, Opinion and Ideologies. Discourse and Ideologies. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters LTD, 1996. pp. 7-37.
9. Dallmayr FR. Language and politics: Why does language matter in political philosophy? Notre Dame; London: Univ. of Notre Dame press; 1984. XII, 243 p.
10. Holder RW. A dictionary of euphemisms. London: Oxford University Press; 1995. p.470.
11. Schiffrin D. Approaches to discourse. Cambridge, MA & Oxford: Blackwell; 1994. p470.
12. Seidel G. Political discourse analysis. Handbook of discourse analysis. 1985. Vol. 4. pp. 43-60.

13. Rchard SA. Slang and euphemism: a dictionary of oaths, curses, insults, sexual slang, metaphor, etc. New York: New American Library; 1982. p.11.

14. Wodak R. Critical Linguistics and Critical Discourse Analysis. Handbook of Pragmatics. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publ. Co.; 1994. pp. 30-42.

15. Kany CE. American-Spanish euphemisms. Berkely, Los Angeles: University of California; 1960. p.5.