Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities

ISSN: 2249-7315 Vol. 11, Issue 11, November 2021 SJIF 2021 = 8.037 A peer reviewed journal

ECOLOGICAL INFLUENCES AND TRADITIONAL COTTON CROPS: A REVIEW

Dr. Anubhav Soni*: Dr. Jitendra**

*SOMC,

Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA Email id: anubhavs.somc@sanskriti.edu.in,

**SOMC.

Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA Email id: jitendra.somc@sanskriti.edu.in

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2021.00279.3

ABSTRACT

Cotton cultivation is well known for utilizing many plant protection chemicals. Biological treatment by emergence and acclimatisation of advantageous invertebrates has not been especially productive in agricultural output given the difficulty in creating a package of favourable microorganisms competent of reacting efficaciously to the game's diversification of insects, the crop's yearly basis essence, and the interrupting consequences of synthetic control legislation aimed directly against the residual insects. Only inundate biological control has shown significant benefits, and only when chemical pesticide pressure has been reduced. This study looks at how and why crop protection concepts have changed dramatically since the invention of synthetic pesticides. With the advent of synthetic pesticides, crop protection ideas have altered significantly, according to this study. Because of the effectiveness of genetically modified cotton, chemical control treatments have been reduced, showing the beneficial role that natural enemies may play. This necessitates a shift from a field-by-field strategy to a farm-by-farm and agroecosystem approach to a landscape-by-landscape approach to a holistic approach to sustainable pest management. This research will assist in the advancement of cotton farming to offer higher earnings and environmental methods to pest control.

KEYWORDS: Cotton, Farm, Management, Pest control, Pesticides.

REFERENCES

- **1.** James C. Global status and distribution of commercial transgenic crops in 1997. Biotechnol Dev Monit. 1998:
- **2.** Hoyle BL, Arthur EL. Biotransformation of pesticides in saturated-zone materials. Hydrogeol J. 2000;
- **3.** Farrar JJ, Ellsworth PC, Sisco R, Baur ME, Crump A, Fournier AJ, et al. Assessing compatibility of a pesticide in an IPM program. J Integr Pest Manag. 2018;
- **4.** Yasin S, Asghar HN, Ahmad F, Zahir ZA, Waraich EA. Impact of Bt-cotton on soil microbiological and biochemical attributes. Plant Prod Sci. 2016;
- **5.** Wauchope RD, Estes TL, Allen R, Baker JL, Hornsby AG, Jones RL, et al. Predicted impact of transgenic, herbicide-tolerant corn on drinking water quality in vulnerable watersheds of the mid-western USA. Pest Manag Sci. 2002;

Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities

ISSN: 2249-7315 Vol. 11, Issue 11, November 2021 SJIF 2021 = 8.037 A peer reviewed journal

- **6.** Lewis WJ, Van Lenteren JC, Phatak SC, Tumlinson JH. A total system approach to sustainable pest management. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1997.
- **7.** Naranjo SE. Impacts of Bt transgenic cotton on integrated pest management. J Agric Food Chem. 2011;
- **8.** Ferron P, Deguine JP, Mouté JEÀ. Évolution de la protection phytosanitaire du cotonnier: Un cas d'école. Cah Agric. 2006;
- 9. Luttrell RG, Teague TG, Brewer MJ. Cotton insect pest management. In: Cotton. 2015.