



ISSN: 2249-7315

Vol. 11, Issue 10, October 2021

SJIF –Impact Factor = 8.037 (2021)

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2021.00114.3

AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM: RIGHT OF ACCUSED PERSON

Aruno Raj Singh*

*Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology,
Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA
Email Id: arunorajsingh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The second long arm of natural justice is Audi alteram partem, which protects the accused against unreasonable administrative measures when his right to person or property is threatened. R v. University of Cambridge, decided in 1723, was one of the first decisions to discuss audialterm partem, in which the Court of King's Bench ruled that the University of Cambridge could not revoke a great but rebellious scholar's degree without giving him a chance to explain himself. In this instance, it was also said that even if there is no legislative need that all parties be heard, the common law justice would compensate for the legislature's omission. The primary goal of this concept is to ensure that no one is condemned without a fair trial. This concept is used in administrative action to guarantee that the affected individual is treated fairly and fairly. Under Audi alteram partem, the right to counsel is a right. The primary goal of this article is to distinguish between the Right to Counsel and the Right to Legal Representation, and to determine if they may both be called Fundamental Rights.

KEYWORDS: *Counsel, Friend, Hear, Legal, And Rights.*

REFERENCES

1. R. Silwal, "Principle of Audi Altrem Partem in Administrative Function: Neplease Case Laws," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2012, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2158783.
2. "Listening To Investors (And Others): Audi Alteram Partem And The Future Of International Investment Law," *Cigi Investor-State Arbitr. Ser. Pap.*, 2016.
3. C. G. Kuhlman, "Audi alteram partem.," *Journal of the American Medical Association.* 1895, doi: 10.1001/jama.1895.02430240035010.
4. A Russian correspondent, "Audi Alteram Partem," *Round Table*, 1958, doi: 10.1080/00358535808452127.
5. H. H. Vinke, "Audi Alteram Partem," *Journal of the American Medical Association.* 1889, doi: 10.1001/jama.1889.02401060025008.
6. D. Handayani, "Legal Principles of Evidence on Civil Cases in Public Judiciary," *Hang Tuah Law J.*, 2017, doi: 10.30649/htlj.v1i1.13.
7. S. Mitra, "Protecting the Rule of Natural Justice," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2012, doi:

10.2139/ssrn.1743442.

8. M. Meera, “Evolving Dimensions of Judiciary in India,” *Christ Univ. Law J.*, 1970, doi: 10.12728/culj.7.3.
9. J. Kanovitz, “Right to Counsel,” in *Constitutional Law*, 2020.