



ISSN: 2249-7315

Vol. 11, Issue 10, October 2021

SJIF –Impact Factor = 8.037 (2021)

DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2021.00089.7

**A REVIEW PAPER ON WOMEN EMPOWERMENT IN
PROFESSIONAL AND DOMESTIC LIFE**

Dr. Anubhav Soni*

*SMAC, Sanskriti University,
Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Email id: anubhavs.somc@sanskriti.edu.in

ABSTRACT

Feminism has grown considerably as a transdisciplinary voice in support of change, as well as a discipline of science and philosophy, during the last 40 years. Advocacy and philosophy seem to have dominated the development of theory. Proposals are made to further feminist thinking. This book presents a feminist public relations theory, as well as an explanation and agenda for changing bias towards female public relations practitioners. This research emphasizes the importance of the social, historical, and political context from the viewpoint of women's empowerment theory as a cyclical rather than a linear process in components of women's empowerment. It proposes that empowerment-driven feminist social work practice be guided by an awareness of positionalities, conscientization, and social changes, integrating key concepts in feminist thought and empowerment theory. In this study, the key characteristics of women's equality in general and in the workplace are addressed. Feminist and empowerment philosophy has a lot in common with the development and identification of professional identity and social work in general. Individual and collective identity formation are both emphasized in social and gender inequality and prejudice. Empowerment is a big part of feminist social work's theory and practice, particularly when it comes to dominance and subordination.

KEYWORDS: *Empowerment, Feminism, Gender, Social Work, Women.*

REFERENCES

1. Firestone, S., "The Dialectic of Sex: The Case for Feminist Revolution," 1970.
2. Clegg, S., Flyvbjerg, B., and Haugaard, M., "Reflections on phronetic social science: a dialogue between Stewart Clegg, Bent Flyvbjerg and Mark Haugaard," *J. Polit. Power* 7(2):275–306, 2014, doi:10.1080/2158379X.2014.929259.
3. Hesse-Biber, S. and Yaiser, M., "Feminist perspectives on social research," 2004.
4. Flax, J., "Postmodernism and Gender Relations in Feminist Theory," *Signs J. Women Cult. Soc.* 12(4):621–643, 1987, doi:10.1086/494359.
5. Evans, J., "Feminist theory today: An introduction to second-wave feminism," 1995.

6. Collins, P., "Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment," 2002.
7. Khader, S., "Adaptive preferences and womens empowerment," 2011.
8. Harnois, C.E., "Different paths to different feminisms?: Bridging multiracial feminist theory and quantitative sociological gender research," *Gend. Soc.* 19(6):809–828, 2005, doi:10.1177/0891243205280026.
9. Pearson, J.L., "Multicultural feminism and sisterhood among women of color in social change dialogue," *Howard J. Commun.* 18(1):87–109, 2007, doi:10.1080/10646170601147499.
10. Mize, L.K. and Pinjala, A., "Sisterhood narratives: Opportunities in connections," *J. Fem. Fam. Ther.* 14(1):21–51, 2002, doi:10.1300/J086V14N01_02.
11. Fonow, M. and Cook, J., "Beyond methodology: Feminist scholarship as lived research," 1991.
12. Szymanski, D.M. and Ikizler, A.S., "Internalized heterosexism as a mediator in the relationship between gender role conflict, heterosexist discrimination, and depression among sexual minority men," *Psychol. Men Masculinity* 14(2):211–219, 2013, doi:10.1037/A0027787.