

Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities



ISSN: 2249-7315 Vol. 11, Issue 9, September 2021 SJIF –Impact Factor = 8.037 (2021) DOI: 10.5958/2249-7315.2021.00056.3

FORMAL DIFFERENCES AND SEMANTIC SIMILARITIES IN SIMPLE SENTENCES

Abror Odilovich Murtazaev*

*Freelance Researcher,
Tashkent State University of Uzbek,
Language and Literaturenamed after Alisher Navoi,
UZBEKISTAN

Email id: abror.odil@bk.ru

ABSTRACT

The article presents the formal differences and semantic accuracy in simple sentences, i.e., synonymy of simple speech, syntactic synonymy and the importance of its study, scientific views on simple sentences and their relationship to them. In scientific texts, simple sentences and ways of forming the phenomenon of synonymy in them are analyzed in detail. The development of research in Uzbekistan, the emergence of original scientific texts requires the development of a certain linguistic control (to prevent duplication). For this, it is necessary to create software that determines the level of similarity of the content of scientific texts available in electronic form. Experts in a given field, such as painters or sculptors, determine whether a work of art is copied, and scientists in a given field determine whether a work of art is copied. The increase in the number of problems in this example is related to the posting of research results in higher education on the Internet. This situation has arisen today and requires a new level of struggle against illegal use of someone else's intellectual property in the world.

KEYWORDS: Speech, Artificial Intelligence, Linguistics, Molding, Scientific Text, Synonymy, Content, Exact Similarity, Ranking, Copyright, Linguistic Expertise, Simple Speech, Content, Syntax, Scientific Text, Difference, Presupposition, Lexical, Identity, Similarity, Difference.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Sayfullaeva R. Synonymy of declarative sentences in the modern Uzbek language, Abstract of thesis, candidate, phil, sciences, Tashkent, 1982, 35 b
- **2.** Lutfillaeva. D.E. Denial and formal-substantive inconsistency in affirmative statements. Ph.D. name. Tashkent 1997, 145 p
- **3.** Kachanova.L.A. Syntactic synonymy of Russian sentences within the functional range "complex submission simple sentence" (cognitive-syntactic aspect) Ph.D. R .: 2006. 125

- **4.** Zolotova GA Onipenko NK, Sidorova M.Yu. 1999 -Communicative grammar of the Russian language. Moscow: Vysshaya Shkola 225 B
- **5.** Zolotova G.A. 1973 Essay on the functional syntax of the Russian language. Moscow: Nauka, 1973.25 B
- **6.** Ledenev Yu.Yu. 2005— On the question of the syntactic status of a sentence // Pedagogical Science and Practice to the Region. Materials of the VII regional scientific-practical conference on April 8-9, 2005 Stavropol: SGPI, 2005.320 B
- **7.** Nurmonov A., Mahmudov N., Ahmedov A., Solikhojaev S. Semantic syntax of the Uzbek language. T .: 1992. 149
- **8.** Kaikovus. Nightmare. T.1994. 172
- **9.** Mahmudov N. Semantic-syntactic asymmetry in simple sentences in Uzbek language. Tashkent .: 1984. 128 B
- **10.** Language and literature education.2017.11 No. 82 B
- 11. Language and literature education.2017.10-Son. 83 B