



# Asian Research Consortium

Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities  
Vol. 10, No. 12, December 2020, pp. 1-12.

ISSN 2249-7315

A Journal Indexed in Indian Citation Index

DOI NUMBER: *10.5958/2249-7315.2020.00026.X*

***SJIF – SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL IMPACT FACTOR :7.615(2020)***

## Institutional Frame Works and Effective Governance of Forest Resources in India

Smrithi K Chandy\*

\*Student,

TISS.

smrithyachandy@gmail.com

---

---

Asian Journal  
of Research in  
Social Sciences  
and  
Humanities

---

---

www.ajjsh.com

---

### Abstract

Natural resources and the forest ecosystems provide the tribal people, aboriginals and the poor a secure and safe option during the time of crisis. it's a source of food in the form of plants and meat , agriculture fields for cultivation that is rich in nutrients , grazing land for their cattle's ,fuel wood, gums , resins , medicines, honey , and a range of forest products that will sustain them in difficult times. To maintain the constant source of supply and access to resources, effective techniques of resource management by checks thereby holding a position as a stakeholder is a shared responsibility. Tragedy of the commons is the main concern in case of a shared resource system. For Indian government, revenue from the forest is a main source income. That includes sale of the valuable forest products by resourcing and appropriation. Also the revenues obtained can be invested in human capital and providing job trainings. But in reality the sustainable maintenance of forest resources in histories have given a tough image. This study throws light over the role of institutions in the collaborative maintenance of natural resources especially forest based. Further it emphasizes the strength and weakness of the formulations of these resources. Moreover institutional analysis based on economic, social, ecological indicators, provides the quality of the institutions. There are multidimensional importance for forests in the life of forest dwelling communities.

**Keywords:** Institutions, Natural Resources, Management, Rules and Regulations, Community, Stakeholders.

---



## References

- Adams, W., Watson, E., Mutiso, S. 1997. Water, rules and gender: Water rights in an indigenous irrigation system, Marakwet, Kenya. *Development and Change*, 28(4), 707-730.
- Aggarwal, A. 2008. Indigenous Institutions for Natural Resource Management: Potential and Threats. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 43(23), 21-24.
- Agrawal, A., McSweeney, C., Perrin, N. 2008. Local Institutions and Climate Change Adaptation, No 11145, World Bank Other Operational Studies, The World Bank.
- Agrawal, A., and Ribot, J. 1999. Accountability in Decentralization: A Framework with South Asian and West African Cases. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 33(4), 473-502.
- Arnold, J.E.M. 1998. Managing forests as common property. Forestry Paper Series 136. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Aron, J. 2000. Growth and institutions: a review of the evidence. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 15(1), 99- 135.
- Bardhan, P.K. 2000. Understanding Underdevelopment: Challenges for Institutional Economics from the Point of View of Poor Countries, *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, Vol. 156, No. 1, pp. 216-235.
- Barro, R. 1991. Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, pp. 407- 444.
- Baumol, W.J. 1991. Toward enhancement of the contribution of theory to environmental policy. *Journal Environmental and Resource Economics* 1(4) 333-352.
- Beck, T., Clarke, G., Groff, A., Keefer, P., Walsh, P. 2002. *New Tools and New Tests in Comparative Political Economy: The Database of Political Institutions, Regulation and Competition Policy*, Development Research Group, World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Becker, C.D. 2003. Grassroots to Grassroots: Why Forest Preservation was Rapid at Loma Alta, Ecuador, *World Development*, Vol. 31, No. 1, January, pp. 163-176, ISSN 0305-750X.
- Bowles, S. 1998. Endogenous Preferences: The Cultural Consequences of Markets and Other Economic Institutions, *The Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. XXXVI, No. 1, March 1998, pp. 75-111.
- Campos, N. and Nugent, J.B. 1998. *Institutions and Growth: Can Human Capital Be a Link*, Cepal Review, 64, April, pp. 7-27. Chambers, R., 1997. *Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last*. Intermediate Technology Publications, London.
- Chambers, R., 1994. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA): challenges, potentials and paradigm. *World Development* 22, 1437-1454.



- Coria, J. and Sterner, T. 2011. Natural Resource Management: Challenges and Policy Options. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 3(1), 203–230. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-083110-120131>
- Cramb, R. and Wills, I.R. 1990. The Role of Traditional Institutions in Rural Development: Community-Based Land Tenure and Government Land Policy in Sarawak, Malaysia, *World Development*, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 347-360. Crawford, S. E. and Ostrom, E. 1995. A Grammar of Institutions. *American Political Science Review*, 89(3), 582- 600.
- Davis, S. H. and Wali, A. 1993. *Indigenous views of land and the environment* (Vol. 188). Washington, DC: World Bank. Easterly, W. 2001. Can Institutions Resolve Ethnic Conflict, *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 49, No. 4, pp. 687-706.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 1994. *The state of food and agriculture 1994*, FAO Agriculture Series, no. 27, ISBN 92-5-103550-4 Rome (Italy): FAO
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 1987. *Small-scale forest-based processing enterprises*. Forestry Paper 79. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Fernandes, W., and Menon, G. S. 1987. Tribal women and forest economy. Deforestation, exploitation and status change. *Tribal women and forest economy. Deforestation, exploitation and status change*.
- Gadgil, M., and Guha, R. 1995. *Ecology and equity: The use and abuse of nature in contemporary India*. Psychology Press.
- Gaviria, A., Panizza, U., Seddon, J., Stein, E. 2000. *Political Institutions and Growth Collapses*, Working Paper, No. 419, Research Department, Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Gibbs, C.J.N. and Bromley, D.W. 1989. Institutional arrangements for management of rural resources: common property regimes. In: Berkes, F. (ed.) *Common property resources ecology and community-based sustainable development*, 22-32. Belhaven Press with IUCN, London, UK.
- Guha, R. 1983. *Forestry in British and post-British India: A historical analysis*. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 1882-1896.
- Hardin, G. 2009. The Tragedy of the Commons\*. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research*, 1(3), 243-253.
- Heltberg, R. 2001. Determinants and Impact of Local Institutions for Common Resource Management, *Environment and Development Economics*, Vol. 6, pp. 183-208.
- Hill, P. 1984. The poor quality of official socio-economic statistics relating to the rural tropical world: with special reference to South India. *Modern Asian Studies*, 18(3), 491-514.



- Hobley, M. 1996. Institutional change within the forest sector: centralised decentralisation. Working Paper 92. Overseas Development Institute, London, UK.
- Jodha, N. S. 1990. Rural common property resources: contributions and crisis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, A65-A78.
- Johnson, N., Lilja, N., Ashby, J.A., Garcia, J.A., 2004. Practice of participatory research and gender analysis in natural resource management. *Natural Resources Forum* 28, 189–200.
- Knack, S. and Keefer, P. 1995. Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross Country Tests Using Alternative Institutional Measures, *Economics and Politics*, Vol. 7, No. 3, November, pp. 207-227.
- Lanjouw, J.O. and Levy, P.I. 2002. Untitled: A Study of Formal and Informal Property Rights in Urban Ecuador, *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 112, No. 482, pp. 986-1019.
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-De-Silanes, A. Shleifer and R. Vishny (1998), *The Quality of Government*, Harvard Institute of Economic Research Working Papers 1847, Harvard Institute of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Lynch, O. J., and Talbott, K. 1995. *Balancing acts: community-based forest management and national law in Asia and the Pacific*. World Resources Institute.
- Lindenberg, M.M., Crosby, B.L., 1981. *Managing Development: The Political Dimension*. Kumarian Press, West Hartford, CT. 71
- Malhotra, K. C., Gokhale, Y., Chatterjee, S., Srivastava, S. 2007. *Sacred groves in India: An overview*, IGRMS Bhopal and Aryan Books International, Delhi.
- Mazzucato, V. and Niemeijer, D. 2000. The Cultural Economy of Soil and Water Conservation: Market Principles and Social Networks in Eastern Burkina Faso, *Development and Change*, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 831-855.
- McKean, M., and Ostrom, E. 1995. Common property regimes in the forest: just a relic from the past. *Unasylva*, 46(180), 3-15.
- Melnyk, M. 1993. The effects of sedenterization on agriculture and forest resources in Southern Venezuela. Network Paper 16b, Rural Development Forestry Network, ODI, London, UK.
- Nemarundwe, N. and W. Kozanayi. 2002. Institutional arrangements for water resource use: A case study from Southern Zimbabwe. *J. S. Afr. Stud.* 29:193-206
- Neumann, R.P. 1996. Forest products research in relation to conservation policies in Africa. In: Ruiz Pérez, M. and Arnold, J.E.M. (eds.) *Current issues in non-timber forest products research*, 161-76. CIFOR-ODA, Bogor, Indonesia.
- North, D.C. 1990. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.



- OECD. 2011. *The Economic Significance Of Natural Resources: Key points for reformers in Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia*, (ENV/WKP(2011)3), Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Ostrom, E. 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, 325(5939), 419-422.
- Ostrom, E. 2005. *Understanding Institutional Diversity*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Ostrom, E. 1990. *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Pain, R., 2004. Social geography: participatory research. *Progress in Human Geography* 28, 652–663.